

Measuring Macroeconomic Data

Week 02

Vivaldo Mendes
Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL)

2026-09-21

1. Measuring GDP

The Crucial Importance of Measurement

“While the GDP and the rest of the national accounts may seem to be arcane concepts, they are truly among the great inventions of modern times.”

Paul Samuelson and William Nordhaus, Nobel Prize winners

GDP stands for “Gross Domestic Product”

The Crucial Importance of Measurement

- Inaccurate/wrong measurements of macroeconomic aggregates may lead to dangerous or **perverse policy measures**.
 - Private agents may make the **wrong decisions**.
 - The state of the economy and our well-being may give a **misleading view** of the true state we are living in.
 - In fact, in economics, there may be very few things more important than measuring correctly the main macroeconomic aggregates.
-

The Three Methods of Measuring GDP

- The Production Approach
- The Expenditure Approach
- The Income Approach

...

- They are **alternative** ways of estimating the same value
- Due to **measurement errors** they lead to approximate values for GDP
- Despite those errors they provide an **excellent picture** of economic activity in OECD economies

The Production Approach

i Definition. GDP

In the production approach, we define GDP as the current market value of all final goods and services (G&S) newly produced in the economy during a given period of time.

- “**Market value**”: to exclude G&S not subject to market transactions
 - “**Final**”: to exclude transactions of intermediate G&S
 - “**Newly**”: to exclude transactions of G&S that were produced in the past
 - “**Given period**”: to limit the period under consideration: a quarter, or a year
 - $GDP = \sum \text{Gross Value Added by each firm } i$
-

Production Approach: Special Imputations

- **Housing owner services:**
 - Rents are considered in the computation of GDP because it is a service being provided to someone and subject to a market transaction.
 - The owner of a house does not pay rent, but is benefiting from a similar service.
 - So, these services are treated as “implicit” rents and are considered in the calculation of GDP.
 - **Government provision of goods:** public-goods like schooling, medical services, police, and others, are not subject to market transactions, but they are counted for GDP by their cost reported in the Budget.
 - **Inventories:** firms’ holdings of raw materials, unfinished goods, and unsold finished goods and their value is included in the GDP.
-

The Expenditure Approach

...

- Contrary to the Production approach, in the current case we measure GDP by summing all transactions when agents buy **final Goods & Services** (G&S).

...

- The huge amount of those transactions can be aggregated into 4 basic categories:

$$Y = C + I + G + NX$$

...

- Y : GDP
- C : Personal Consumption Expenditures
- I : Investment Expenditures

- G : Government Expenditures (only on G&S)
 - NX : Net Exports = Exports – Imports
-

No, stupid: Imports do not reduce GDP

- The final G&S come from two sources:
 - Domestically produced (Y)
 - Foreign produced (F)
 - They can be allocated to 4 basic ends:
 - Private Consumption (C)
 - Productive consumption (I)
 - Government consumption (G)
 - Exported G&S (X)
 - Accounting procedure: sources vs ends

$$\begin{aligned} F + Y &= \underbrace{\left(C^Y + C^F\right)}_C + \underbrace{\left(I^Y + I^F\right)}_I + \underbrace{\left(G^Y + G^F\right)}_G + \underbrace{\left(X^Y + X^F\right)}_X \\ &= C + I + G + X \end{aligned}$$
-

Contributions to GDP growth

- Every quarter there is a cacophony in the media and other circles about the fact that imports reduce economic growth
- The numbers are based on the mathematics of “contributions to GDP growth”
- Suppose we have an accounting identity like this:

$$x + w = z$$

- This equation can be written in terms of growth rates as follows:

$$\hat{x}\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) + \hat{w}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) = \hat{z}$$

- A hat on top of variable expresses its growth rate
 - Let us apply this to GDP ... and find where the aberration lies in. ([There is an exercise with this problem](#))
-

The Expenditure Approach: An Example

...


TABLE 2.1 GDP AND ITS COMPONENTS, 2012

	Billions of Dollars	Percent of GDP
Personal consumption expenditure (C)	11,286	68.7
Consumer durables	1,231	7.5
Nondurable goods	2,595	15.8
Services	7,459	45.4
Investment (I)	2,500	15.2
Fixed investment	2,018	12.3
Inventory investment	13	0.14
Residential investment	469	2.9
Government purchases (G)	3,151	19.2
Federal	1,275	7.8
State and local	1,875	11.4
Net exports (NX)	− 516	− 3.1
Exports	2,214	13.5
Minus imports	2,730	16.6
Total = GDP (Y)	16,420	100.0

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis. Table 1.1.5. www.bea.gov/national/nipaweb/SelectTable.asp?Selected=Y

Note: Numbers may not add up to the totals due to rounding.

Be Careful With Appearances



- The textbook gives the impression that the components of GDP have remained relatively stable over time.
 - In one exercise, we will show that this perspective is wrong.
-

The Income Approach

...

The income approach involves adding up all the incomes received in the economy by households, firms, and the government:

...

- **GDP** = Compensation of employees + Taxes on production and imports less subsidies + Operating surplus + Depreciation

- **Operating surplus** = rents + interest income + corporate profits

...

- **NFI** = Income received by US residents from investments abroad — income created in the US but paid to foreign residents abroad


...

- **GNP** = GDP + NFI

...

NFI stands for “Net Factor Income”, GNP for “Gross National Product”, and GDP for “Gross Domestic Product”

NFI, GDP, and GNP: an Example



$$\begin{aligned} \text{GNP(A)} &= \text{GDP(A)} \\ &+ \text{income created in W but paid to residents in A} \\ &- \text{income created in A but paid to residents in W} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \text{GNP(A)} &= \text{GDP(A)} \\ &+ \text{income created in W but paid to residents in A} \\ &- \text{income created in A but paid to residents in W} \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{Net factor income (NFI(A))}$$

$$\text{GNP(A)} = \text{GDP(A)} + \text{NFI(A)} = 100 + (30 - 10) = 120.$$

2. Price Indexes

Real vs Nominal GDP

- **Nominal variables:** variables measured at current prices
- **Real variables:** variables measured at constant prices
- So, Real GDP is just $\text{Real GDP} = \frac{\text{Nominal GDP}}{\text{Price level}}$
- How do we measure the “Price level”?
- The technique of price indexes will do that for us

Fixed Base Year Indexes: Example

Suppose an economy produces only apples & oranges: periods 1,2:

	Apples	Oranges
Quantity in Year 1	$Q_1^a = 50$	$Q_1^o = 100$
Price in Year 1	$P_1^a = \$1.00$	$P_1^o = \$0.80$
Quantity in Year 2	$Q_2^a = 80$	$Q_2^o = 120$
Price in Year 2	$P_2^a = \$1.25$	$P_2^o = \$1.60$

...

- What is the level of Nominal GDP for periods 1 and 2?
 - What is the rate of growth of Nominal GDP between these two periods?
 - Using year 1 as the base year:
 - What is the level of Real GDP for both periods?
 - What is the growth rate of Real GDP between these two periods?
-

Nominal GDP

- Nominal GDP is simply current prices multiplied by current quantities:

$$GDP_1 = 50 \times 1 + 100 \times 0.8 = 130 \text{ dollars}$$

$$GDP_2 = 80 \times 1.25 + 120 \times 1.6 = 292 \text{ dollars}$$

- Nominal GDP grows between periods 1 and 2 at a rate

$$\left(\frac{GDP_2}{GDP_1} - 1 \right) = 124.6\%$$

- The measure of **nominal GDP is irrelevant** in modern economies because of price changes
 - Let us calculate the relevant measure of GDP: **real GDP**
-

Year 1 as the Base Year: Real GDP

- As year-1 is the base year, $realGDP_1$ has to be equal to nominal GDP_1

$$realGDP_1 = GDP_1 = 130 \text{ dollars}$$

- $realGDP_2$ is calculated as if prices remain constant (those of year 1)

$$realGDP_2 = 80 \times 1 + 120 \times 0.8 = 176 \text{ dollars}$$

- Using year 1 as the base year, real GDP grows between periods 1 and 2:

$$g_{b_1} = \left(\frac{realGDP_2}{realGDP_1} - 1 \right) = 35.38\%$$

- g_{b_1} gives the rate of **growth** between the two years, **using year 1 as the base year**.
-

Year 2 as the Base Year: Real GDP

- As year-2 is the base year, $realGDP_2$ has to be equal to nominal GDP_2

$$realGDP_2 = GDP_2 = 292 \text{ dollars}$$

- $realGDP_1$ is calculated as if prices remain constant (those of year 2)

$$realGDP_1 = 50 \times 1.25 + 100 \times 1.6 = 222.5 \text{ dollars}$$

- Using year-2 as the base year, real GDP grows between periods 1 and 2:

$$g_{b_2} = \left(\frac{realGDP_2}{realGDP_1} - 1 \right) = 31.23\%$$

- g_{b_2} gives the rate of **growth** between the two years, *using year 2 as the base year*.
-

Problem: Which Base Year Should Be Used?

Using year-1 as the base year:

$$g_{b_1} = 35.38\%$$

Using year-2 as the base year:

$$g_{b_2} = 31.23\%$$

- So we are left with no definitive answer, if we update the base year.
 - From time to time, National Accounts have to update the base year.
 - Problem: every time we update the base year, we rewrite the economy's history.
 - How to overcome this problem? Apply the **Chain Weighted Index** method
 - We do not have time for covering this method, but we can learn how to calculate the **Price Index**.
-

Calculate the Price Index

- After choosing one base year, calculate the Price Index by applying the equation: $\$Real \hspace{0.2cm} GDP = \frac{Nominal \hspace{0.2cm} GDP}{Price \hspace{0.2cm} level} \$$
- If year-1 is the base year, then the Price Index (**PI**) for year 1 is:

$$PI_1 = NominalGDP_1 / RealGDP_1 = 130/130 = 1$$

- And the **PI** for year 2, will be given by:

$$PI_2 = NominalGDP_2 / RealGDP_2 = 292/176 = 1.659$$

- As the inflation rate (π) is the percentage change in the Price Index, we get:

$$\pi = (1.659 - 1)/1 = 65.9\%$$

- Obviously, we can do the same for the case where year-2 is the base year. Will π be the same? No! Why?
-

Different Inflation Indicators

...

In the National Accounts there are many indicators to provide a measure of inflation. Three of the most relevant ones are:


- **GDP deflator**. It is the price index we learned to calculate in the previous slide.

- **Consumer Price Index (CPI).** It is the most used measure of inflation in the media and in politics. It represents the percentage change in the prices of a basket of goods and services (G&S) that are representative of the consumption patterns within the country.
- **Housing Price Index.** It was developed by Nobel Prize winner Robert Shiller and the S&P ratings company. Those that need to buy a house easily understand its importance.

GDP deflator vs CPI

...


Consumption G&S saw their prices increasing more than those of the other components of GDP since the 1940's.



The Housing Price Index

...

The Housing Price Index started to be developed only in the 1980s, but it is currently one of the most important macroeconomic variables. The financial crisis that started in 2007 was triggered by the burst of a housing bubble.



Some Caution with Price Indexes

- A wrong measure of a price index will lead to a wrong measure of real GDP and social well-being.
 - Two fundamental issues are difficult to be captured by price indexes:
-

Some Caution with Price Indexes

- **New goods.** The introduction of new goods, the GDP deflator is artificially greater than its true value, underestimating the true value of real GDP.
- **Quality improvements.** ts are so dramatic that it seems impossible to get a truly accurate measure for the Real GDP deflator. A personal computer may cost today (in real terms) the same as in 1990. But a today's computer can do what a million computers could not do in 1990.

3. Other Important Macroeconomic Aggregates

Adult Civilian Population


...

The total adult civilian population [16 to 66 years] is divided into 2 major groups:

- **Labor Force** = Number of Employed + Number of Unemployed
 - **Employed.** If the person is working, either full time or part time, during the past week, or was temporarily away from his or her job because of illness, vacation, or the inability to get to work because of bad weather.
 - **Unemployed.** If the person did not work during the past week, but had looked for a job over the previous four weeks, or was waiting to return to a job from which he or she had been laid off.
 - ...
 - **Not in the labor force.** If the person did not work during the past week and had not looked for a job over the previous four weeks.
-

Adult Civilian Population: USA (2013)

...



The Three Types of Unemployment

- The **natural** rate of unemployment.
 - The rate that would prevail if the economy were neither in a boom nor in a bust.
 - It is the sum of frictional and structural unemployment
 - **Frictional** unemployment: workers being between jobs in the dynamic economy
 - **Structural** unemployment: labor market failing to match up workers and firms in the market
- **Cyclical** unemployment
 - The difference between the actual rate and the natural rate.
 - Associated with short-run fluctuations in output
- Actual unemployment = ***frictional + structural + cyclical***

Real vs Nominal Interest Rates

- **Nominal interest** rates are only relevant for arbitrage/speculation.
- For decision making about real economic activity (long term real investment, buying a house, etc.), relevant is the **real interest rate**.
- **The Fisher equation.** This equation highlights a simple but very important relationship:

$$r = i - \pi$$


i : nominal interest rate, r : real interest rate, π : inflation rate

- Under normal conditions, nominal interest rates can not go below 0%.
 - What about real interest rates?
-

Can the Real Interest Rate Be Negative?

...

Below we plot the most common measure of a short-term real interest rate (3-Month Treasury Bills rate minus the CPI inflation rate in the USA)



How often was it negative?

4. Readings

Readings

- Read **Chapter 2** of the adopted textbook:
 - Frederic S. Mishkin (2015). *Macroeconomics: Policy & Practice*, Second Edition, Pearson Editors.
- For the issue of **Price Indexes**, it is better if you read the section 2.3 “Nominal and Real GDP and Price Indices” in Chapter 2, pages 68–71, in the following textbook:
 - Stephen Williamson (2018). *Macroeconomics*, Sixth Edition, Pearson Editors

Bibliography